This article explores the role of firearms in acts of violence against women in South Africa, drawing on three datasets: one investigating the implementation of the Domestic Violence Act (DVA), the second exploring gang rapes, and the third documenting intimate femicide. In relation to domestic violence, it was found that while guns were referred to in one in four applications for protection, their removal was ordered in only two per cent of applications. Both a provincial femicide study and a national female homicide study found guns to be the leading cause of death for women killed by their intimate male partners and found that in the majority of cases, the gun was legally owned. In contrast, in the gang rape study it is more likely that the guns were illegally owned. The involvement of a firearm in gang rapes highlights the fact that guns not only fulfil the functional purpose of intimidation and injury, but also communicate power and masculine display. The three studies point to the need to train magistrates around the necessity to remove firearms in cases of domestic violence and that it is essential to challenge the symbolic associations between masculinity and power.
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the victim, in 14 per cent of cases it was used both to threaten and injure the victim. The study found that the greater the number of rapists involved, the greater the likelihood that at least one of the assailants was armed with some sort of weapon. Approximately one in four of the lone perpetrators were armed, compared to one in three of the rapist pairs and one in two of the rapist groups. The use of guns was also most likely in cases involving group rapists. Fourteen per cent of both the lone and pair rapists were armed with guns, compared to 25 per cent of the group rapists. It is unknown whether the guns involved in these cases were legally owned.

While the majority of victims (59 per cent) were dragged, pushed or shoved to the site of the rape, abduction at gunpoint was more typical of the cases involving group rapists. Of the 29 per cent of victims abducted by car, 40 per cent of such cases involved three or more perpetrators, as opposed to 20 per cent of cases involving two perpetrators and 19 per cent of cases involving one perpetrator.

In this study, the greatest proportion of rape cases involving guns was likely to involve three or more perpetrators. One would expect that force of numbers alone would be considered more than sufficient to subdue the victim, without the additional threat of a gun being necessary. However, the multiple perpetrators involved in group rapes may also be fulfilling the function of an audience. Thus the use of firearms in these situations may be as much to coerce and intimidate the victim, as it is to demonstrate toughness and power to male peers.

Firearms and domestic violence

This section presents findings from two studies of domestic violence. The first is a retrospective, random review of applications for protection orders in terms of the Domestic Violence Act (No 116 of 1998) (DVA) registered in 2000 and 2001 at Alberton magistrate’s court, an urban court east of Johannesburg (1,537 applications) and Temba, a semi-urban court outside Tshwane Metropolitan Area (671 applications). A total sample of 2,208 applications were captured.

Weapons were referred to in 813 (37 per cent) of the applications for protection orders. In at least one in four (25 per cent) cases a gun was used, in one in five applications (20 per cent) a knife was the weapon of choice, and in two per cent of cases a sjambok was referred to. Multiple weapons were used in one in eight cases, while a miscellany of weapons (including household items) accounted for the remaining 36 per cent of cases.

When guns featured, they were primarily used to threaten applicants (88 per cent), while knives were more likely to be used both to threaten and injure applicants (32 per cent of cases) than guns (10 per cent). This may be due to the fact that those who own knives may be more willing to injure than those who own guns. It is also possible that merely displaying a firearm is sufficient to intimidate victims and force compliance; there is no need to act on the threat – as may be the case with knives. Nonetheless, although weapons were used in more than one in three cases, orders for the police to remove those weapons were made in a scant two per cent of cases. To a large degree this low number of orders may be attributed to the fact that very few applicants (three per cent) actually asked that the weapon be removed.

Our findings corroborate those of a study of protection orders granted in Cape Town, Mitchells Plain and George in the Western Cape. The authors found that magistrates rarely ordered seizure of weapons in comparison to the number of times applicants referred in their applications to the use of weapons against them. It was also noted that applicants did not often request the seizure. The study also suggested that the lack of detail in the protection order forms around what constitutes a dangerous weapon may have made it difficult for police officers to confiscate the weapons in question.

The second study with findings relevant to describing the use of firearms in domestic violence was conducted at the three prisons in Gauteng which house female prisoners: Johannesburg, Pretoria and Heidelberg. When the study began, 565 women (525 adults and 40 juveniles) were held at Johannesburg prison, 146 (137 adults and 9 juveniles) at Pretoria and 57 at Heidelberg. The total population across the three prisons was 768.

Sixty-three per cent of women in the sample had experienced physical abuse in their last relationship. Just over one in four of the women (26 per cent) who had experienced intimate partner abuse reported fractures or dislocations of their bones, and a further 15 per cent reported gunshot and stab wounds as a result of the violence. While the method of injury in the majority of cases was unrecorded, 17 per cent reported that a sharp object like a bottle or knife was used to injure them, while four per cent reported that a gun was used.

Firearms and intimate femicide

Internationally, the murder of women by their intimate partners accounts for between 40 and 70 per cent of all female homicides. In South Africa approximately 50 per cent of female homicides in 1999 were perpetrated by an intimate partner. This section highlights findings in relation to firearms made by two studies exploring intimate femicide.

Vetten, Ngwane and Isserow (in progress) undertook a ten-year retrospective study of men’s killing of their intimate female partners in the period 1990-1999. A census was conducted
of all cases reported at 106 police stations in Gauteng.10 These records were cross-referenced with information from the 17 regional courts, as well as the inquest court records kept at the regional courts. Research was also conducted at the two high courts, Johannesburg and Pretoria, as well as the National Archives.11 A search of all these sources identified a total of 941 cases having occurred during this period. This is likely to be an undercount, given that records had been destroyed at a number of courts and police stations, or were still with the investigating officer, pending finalisation.

In this study, blunt force (including hands and feet and blunt objects) accounted for 35 per cent of women's deaths. Sharp force (in the form of knives and other sharp objects) brought about 29 per cent of women's deaths. The same percentage of deaths was caused by guns. Notably, gun use increased overall for this ten-year period from 23 per cent of cases in 1990 to 41 per cent of cases in 1999. This is an increase in gun use of 78 per cent in real terms over this period.

In 11 per cent of cases, others such as adult family members, children, friends, work mates and passers-by were also attacked and/or killed. Guns were used in 47 per cent of attacks, followed by knives (23 per cent). In a further 14 per cent of cases (one in seven) men committed suicide afterwards, while a further three per cent attempted suicide. Guns were used in 71 per cent of suicides. As the number of firearm killings increased over the period studied, so did the number of homicide-suicides. Further research is required to test whether or not this increase may be attributed solely to the increasing availability and use of guns during this period.

The study also identified a number of factors associated with a gun-killing. These included being a white man (three times increased risk), being younger (risk decreased by 4 per cent each year), being employed and working in the security industry – including police and the South African National Defence Force (SANDF) (nine times increased risk) and working as a professional (four times greater risk) or working in a semi-skilled occupation (three times greater risk). Being married (90 per cent increased risk compared to other relationship types), as well as wanting to end the relationship (risk increased six times) also increased the likelihood of a gun homicide.

The second study discussed in this section was national and retrospective and collected data on female homicides in 1999 of women aged 14 and over.12 Data were collected from a proportionate, random sample of 25 mortuaries, yielding a sample of 951 female homicides. Initial information was gathered from mortuary records and then followed with either a review of the docket or an interview with the investigating officer.

Women who died at the hands of their intimate partners were more likely to be killed by a legal firearm than women killed by non-intimates. One in every five perpetrators of an intimate femicide owned a legal firearm compared to 3.5 per cent of non-intimate perpetrators. The study also provides insight into aspects of the relationship between the use of firearm in homicides and criminal justice system processes. Longer sentences of imprisonment resulted in both the intimate and non-intimate homicides when the perpetrator used a legal firearm.

**Implications for policy and practice**

Firearms are clearly implicated in rape, domestic violence and intimate femicide. However, the risk they pose to women appears to be contingent upon a range of factors such as the perpetrator's age, class and occupation, as well as the state and status of his relationship to the victim. Gun use even within the same type of crime would also appear to vary, as the study involving multiple rapists suggests. Thus, while controlling and regulating possession of firearms may well play a role in combating such violence, the effect of such policies on combating violence against women is also likely to be variable.

This review also points to a number of issues around the implementation of existing policy and law. First, that so few women request the removal of guns despite their frequent use in cases of domestic violence is cause for concern – particularly in light of the findings of the Gauteng femicide study and the national female homicide study. Can this be attributed to an insufficient number of women knowing of that clause in the DVA which allows for the removal of weapons? Or, within a context of fear of crime generally, do women believe the protective value of a gun in the home outweighs the personal danger to themselves? Or are they simply too fearful of their partner's reaction to having his gun removed? Further, if women are not aware of this clause, are they any more likely then to be aware of Chapter 12 of the Firearms Control Act, which permits them to make submissions around their male partners' competence to own firearms?

Whatever the answers to these questions, the findings underscore the need to train magistrates to order the removal of guns in cases of domestic violence as well as clarifying some wording in the DVA which may obscure the procedures for removing firearms. Additionally, it is necessary to finalise and publicise policy regulations regarding SAPS, SANDF officials' and prison warders' off-duty access to guns.

Finally, further study is required to better understand the meanings attached to firearms. As the gang rape study suggests, firearms serve more than an instrumental, functional purpose. It is entirely possible that they are also essential to performances designed to impress male peers with the protagonist's masculine power and control. Further, as the Gauteng femicide study highlights, some groups of men are considerably more likely to value and own firearms than others. Thus it is also crucial to identify the kinds of masculinity most likely to be associated with gun use, as well as to embark on strategies designed to challenge these associations.
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Achieving positive reform of firearm control laws through information use: The Tasmanian case study

Roland Browne*

The defining moment for gun control in Australia and in its smallest state, Tasmania, was the April 1996 massacre at Port Arthur when 35 people were shot dead and 18 injured. By 1998 each state had enacted tighter laws with respect to licensing, compulsory registration and storage requirements, together with bans on military and military style rifles and shotguns. Surprisingly there was little data or published studies available justifying the introduction of these new laws. Australia is thus in the curious position where policy change and law reform occurred before data collection and analysis. Subsequently, there have been two major studies: The first, released in 2004, concluded that dramatic reductions in firearm-related deaths had been achieved as a result of the legislative reforms. In 2005, the Tasmanian Auditor-General produced a Special Report which examined hospital admissions for gun trauma, thefts of firearms, and statistics regarding the use of firearms to commit violent crime and suicide. While these studies have been used to ensure that the laws are not watered down, they have also identified some areas where enforcement and compliance can be improved, providing fertile ground for further public interest advocacy by gun control groups in Tasmania.
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